Scan width 0.6° above $K\alpha_1$ and 0.6° below $K\alpha_2$, variable scan rate, background counts on each side of scan, refinement by full-matrix least-squares methods. H-atom positional parameters for compounds (I), (II) and (III) were calculated using ideal geometries and were allowed to ride on their attached atoms, C—H 0.97 and N—H 1.00 Å. Compounds (I), (II) and (III) display disorder of the H atoms attached to C2'. Compounds (I) and (III) also display disorder of the H atoms attached to C6'. Compound (I) has a disordered side chain where C18 and C19 show alternate positions of half occupancy each. Compound (II) displays disorder of C18 with an alternate position of half occupancy.

For all compounds, data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1991); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXS86 for (I); SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) for (II) and (III). For all compounds, molecular graphics: XP (Siemens, 1990)

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PA1223). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Bolger, D. T., Gengo, P., Klockowski, R., Luchowski, E., Siegel, H., Janis, R. A., Triggle, D. J. & Triggle, A. M. (1983). *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **225**, 291-301.
- Bossert, V. F., Horstmann, H., Meyer, H. & Vater, W. (1979). Arzneim. Forsch./Drug Res. 29, 226–229.
- Hantzsch, A. (1882). Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 215, 1-81.
- Hurwitz, L., Partridge, L. D. & Leach, J. K. (1991). In Calcium Channels: Their Properties, Functions, Regulation, and Clinical Relevance. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Janis, R. A., Silver, P. J. & Triggle, D. J. (1987). Adv. Drug Res. 16, 309-591.
- Langs, D. A., Strong, P. D. & Triggle, D. J. (1990). J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 4, 215–230.
- Loev, B., Goodman, M. M., Snader, K. M., Tedeschi, R. & Macko E. (1974). J. Med. Chem. 17, 956–965.
- Mehdi, S. & Ravikumar, K. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1627-1630.
- Morad, M., Goldmann, Y. E. & Trentham, D. R. (1983). Nature (London), 304, 635-638.
- Rodenkirchen, R., Bayer, R., Steiner, R., Bossert, F., Meyer, H. & Moeller, E. (1979). Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmakol. 310, 69–78.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1990). XP. Interactive Molecular Graphics Program. Version 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Suzuki, K., Shiratori, K., Murayama, B., Harada, N., Miyano, T. & Takeya, K. (1989). J. Pharmacobiol. Dyn. 12, 293–298.
- Tolman C. A. (1977). Chem. Rev. 77, 313-341.
- Triggle, D. J., Langs, D. A. & Janis, R. A. (1989). Med. Res. Rev. 9, 123-180.
- Triggle, A. M., Schefter, E. & Triggle, D. J. (1980). J. Med. Chem. 23, 1442-1445.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2212-2215

(*E*)-6-Chloro-3-[2-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4-chromanone, (*E*)-6-Bromo-3-[2-(4-bromophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4chromanone and (*E*)-3-[2-(4-Chlorophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-6-methoxy-4-chromanone

K. V. Narayana Raju,^{*a*} M. Krishnalah,^{*a*} Y.-S. Chen,^{*b*} S. Narasinga Rao^{*b*} and Elizabeth M. Holt^c

^aDepartment of Physics, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, SV University, Tirupati, India, ^bDepartment of Physics, University of Central Oklahoma, Edmond, Oklahoma, USA, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA. E-mail: chememh@osucc.bitnet

(Received 9 January 1996; accepted 11 March 1996)

Abstract

The structures of (*E*)-6-chloro-3-[2-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4-chromanone, $C_{17}H_{10}Cl_2O_4S$, (*E*)-6bromo-3-[2-(4-bromophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4-chromanone, $C_{17}H_{10}Br_2O_4S$, and (*E*)-3-[2-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-6-methoxy-4-chromanone, $C_{18}H_{13}ClO_5S$, display similar bond angles and distances, but differ in the conformations of the ring systems.

Comment

Sulfones display activity as antibacterial and antifungal agents. Dapsone has been proven to be effective against leprosy, and diasone is found to be highly effective against streptococci and pneumococci infections (Kharesch, Stampa & Nudenberg, 1953). The antifungicidal activity of some unsaturated sulfones has been found to be dependent upon substituent and stereochemical effects (Hawthorne, 1960). (E)-3-[2-(Phenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one and (E)-3-[2-(4-chlorophenyl]sulfonyl)ethenyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one have been observed to display antifungal activity against Curvularia lunata and Furasium oxysporum (Mukundam, 1990).

In the interest of exploiting and increasing this activity, we have synthesized a series of compounds which are derived from these active antifungal agents but with substituents at the 6 position of the 4H-1-benzopyran-4-one ring and with variation of the para substituent on the phenyl ring: (E)-6-chloro-3-[2-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4-chromanone, (I), (E)-6-bromo-3-[2-(4-bromophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4-chromanone, (II), and (E)-3-[2-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)ethenyl]-4-chromber yl]-6-methoxy-4-chromanone, (III). Our aim was to observe the influences of these changes upon the

conformation of the ethenylsulfone moiety. Comparisons may also be made with the three-dimensional structure of the antifungicide, (E)-3-[2-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)-ethenyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one, (IV), (*i.e. para*-chloro and 6-H) (Krishnaiah, Raju, Lu, Chen & Rao, 1995).



The solid-state structures of molecules (I), (II) and (III) (Fig. 1) confirm the *trans* conformation at C(9)—C(10). Bond distances reflect electron delocalization in the O(1)—C(2)—C(3)—C(9)—C(10) chain. There are no significant differences in angles and distances for the three structures.

Molecules (I), (II) and (III) show coplanarity of the sulfur, ethene and chromanone ring moieties



Fig. 1. Views of compounds (I), (II) and (III) with displacement ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level.

[S(11),O(1),C(2)–C(10); deviations: 0.02 (I), 0.02 (II) and 0.01 Å (III)]. However, in the methoxy-substituted chromanone derivatives (III) and (IV), O(3) lies in that plane (deviation 0.05 Å), whereas in (I) and (II), O(3) is 0.569 and 0.548 Å, respectively, from that plane. Thus, the S(11),O(1),C(2)–C(10) plane, including O(3), bisects the C(12)—S(11)—O(4) angle in compounds (III) and (IV) as seen in a projection down the S(11)—C(10) bond, but is perpendicular to the S(11)—C(12) bond in the same projection for (I) and (II) [torsion angle C(9)—C(10)—S(11)—C(12): 99.0 (I), 98.8 (II), 123.1° (III)] (Fig. 2). This difference in conformation may be due to packing as (I) and (II) are isostructural and observed in a triclinic unit cell, whereas (III) and (IV) are found in a larger cell of monoclinic symmetry.



Fig. 2. Projection views of compounds (I) and (III) down the S(11)-C(10) bond.

Experimental

For the preparation of compounds (I), (II) and (III), a mixture of 6-R'-4-oxo-4H-1-benzopyran-3-carboxaldehyde (0.01 mol), 4-(R)phenylsulfonylacetic acid (0.01 mol) [(I) R = CI, R' = CI, (II) R = Br, R' = Br, (III) R = CI, $R' = OCH_3$], 10 ml glacial acetic acid and a small amount of benzylamine (0.2 ml) was heated under reflux for 2 h. The reaction mixture was treated with 50 ml of anhydrous diethyl ether and allowed to stand for 18 h. The solid formed was crystallized from glacial acetic acid to yield the title compounds.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

C₁₇H₁₀Cl₂O₄S $M_r = 381.2$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 6.778 (1) Å b = 10.451 (1) Å c = 11.618 (1) Å $\alpha = 91.34 (1)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 98.88 (1)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 97.51 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 805.3 (2) Å^{3}$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.572 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m \text{ not measured}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 65 reflections $\theta = 5.721-12.505^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.551$ mm⁻¹ T = 298 K Needle $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm Colorless

C17H10Cl2O4S, C17H10Br2O4S AND C18H13ClO5S

Data collection		Compound (II)				
Syntex P4 four-circle	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$	Crystal data				
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^\circ$	$C_{17}H_{10}Br_2O_4S$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation			
0/20 scans	$h = -0 \rightarrow 0$	$M_r = 470.1$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$			
none	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 11$	Triclinic P1	Cell parameters from 60 reflections			
2006 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	a = 6.761 (1) Å	$\theta = 5.952 - 12.424^{\circ}$			
1499 independent reflections	frequency: 97 min	b = 10.719 (1) Å	$\mu = 5.015 \text{ mm}^{-1}$			
1292 observed reflections	intensity decay: 0.0001%	c = 11.744 (1) Å	T = 298 K			
$[F > 4.0\sigma(F)]$		$\alpha = 91.20 (1)^{\circ}$	Cube			
		$\beta = 99.10 (1)^{\circ}$	$0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm			
Refinement		$\gamma = 97.71 (1)^{\circ}$	Colorless			
Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0008F^2]$	V = 832.1 (2) Å ³				
R = 0.0308	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	Z = 2				
wR = 0.0429	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	$D_x = 1.876 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$				
S = 1.14	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	D_m not measured				
1499 reflections	Extinction correction: none	Data collection				
218 parameters	Atomic scattering factors	Data collection	5 0.017			
H atoms positioned by	from International Tables	Syntex P4 four-circle	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$			
idealized geometry, riding	for Crystallography (1992,	diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^\circ$			
model with fixed isotropic	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and	$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$			
displacement parameters	6.1.1.4)	Absorption correction:	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$			
		none	$l = 0 \rightarrow 11$			
Table 1 Exactional stamic	accuding to and continuations	2090 measured reflections	5 standard reflections			
		1220 observed reflections	intensity decay: 0.0001%			
isotropic displacement	t parameters (A ²) for (I)	1229 Observed reflections intensity decay: 0.0				

 $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	- 1		,,,,,	
	x	у	z	U_{eq}
S(11)	0.3009(1)	0.5195(1)	0.2219(1)	0.040(1)
Cl(1)	0.9485 (2)	-0.1030(1)	-0.1887(1)	0.071 (1)
Cl(2)	-0.1968 (2)	0.2150(1)	0.5612(1)	0.072 (1)
O(1)	0.2376 (3)	0.1646 (2)	-0.2373 (2)	0.048(1)
O(2)	0.6347 (4)	0.2273 (2)	0.0671 (2)	0.058(1)
O(3)	0.1664 (3)	0.5901 (2)	0.1490 (2)	0.050(1)
O(4)	0.4792 (3)	0.5880(2)	0.2907 (2)	0.055 (1)
C(2)	0.2124 (5)	0.2463 (3)	-0.1523 (3)	0.046(1)
C(3)	0.3358 (5)	0.2734 (3)	-0.0488 (3)	0.039(1)
C(4)	0.5158 (5)	0.2112 (3)	-0.0246 (3)	0.041(1)
C(4')	0.5458 (5)	0.1226 (3)	-0.1192 (3)	0.040(1)
C(5)	0.7147 (5)	0.0583 (3)	-0.1108 (3)	0.046(1)
C(6)	0.7380(5)	-0.0227 (3)	-0.1993 (3)	0.051(1)
C(7)	0.5926 (7)	-0.0432 (3)	-0.3003 (3)	0.059 (2)
C(8)	0.4277 (6)	0.0195 (3)	-0.3106 (3)	0.054 (2)
C(8')	0.4053 (5)	0.1028 (3)	-0.2203 (3)	0.043(1)
C(9)	0.2809 (5)	0.3670 (3)	0.0306 (3)	0.042 (1)
C(10)	0.3772 (5)	0.4024 (3)	0.1364 (3)	0.041 (1)
C(12)	0.1603 (5)	0.4325 (3)	0.3179 (3)	0.035 (1)
C(13)	-0.0444 (5)	0.4016 (3)	0.2873 (3)	0.050(1)
C(14)	-0.1539 (5)	0.3339 (3)	0.3620 (3)	0.055 (1)
C(15)	-0.0575 (6)	0.2986 (3)	0.4660 (3)	0.046 (1)
C(16)	0.1475 (6)	0.3261 (4)	0.4970 (3)	0.055 (2)
C(17)	0.2573 (5)	0.3939 (3)	0.4220 (3)	0.049(1)

Table 2.	Selected geometric	parameters (Å,	°) for (I)
S(11)—O(3)	1.435 (2)	C(4')—C(5)	1.393 (5)
S(11)O(4)	1.436 (2)	C(4')—C(8')	1.385 (4)
S(11)—C(10)	1.737 (4)	C(5)—C(6)	1.358 (5)
S(11)—C(12)	1.769 (3)	C(6)—C(7)	1.404 (5)
Cl(1)—C(6)	1.737 (4)	C(7)—C(8)	1.359 (6)
Cl(2)—C(15)	1.741 (4)	C(8)—C(8')	1.387 (5)
O(1)—C(2)	1.336 (4)	C(9)—C(10)	1.320 (4)
O(1)—C(8')	1.370 (4)	C(12)—C(13)	1.371 (5)
C(2)—C(3)	1.355 (4)	C(12)—C(17)	1.379 (4)
C(3)—C(4)	1.450 (5)	C(13)—C(14)	1.377 (5)
C(3)—C(9)	1.451 (5)	C(14)—C(15)	1.365 (5)
C(4)—C(4')	1.477 (5)	C(15)—C(16)	1.371 (5)
C(4)O(2)	1.226 (4)	C(16)—C(17)	1.381 (5)

Br(1) Br(2) S(11) O(1) O(2) 0(3)

O(4)

C(2)

C(3)

C(4)

C(4')

C(5) C(6) C(7)

C(8)

C(8') C(9) C(10)

C(12)

C(13) C(14)

Refinement

 $[F > 4.0\sigma(F)]$

,	
Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0008F^2]$
R = 0.0430	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
wR = 0.0484	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.18	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.62 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1560 reflections	Extinction correction: none
217 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
H atoms positioned by	from International Tables
idealized geometry, riding	for Crystallography (1992,
model with fixed isotropic	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
displacement parameters	6.1.1.4)

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (II)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

x	у	z	U_{eq}
-0.9473 (2)	0.6046(1)	0.1881(1)	0.064 (1)
0.2072 (2)	0.2853 (1)	0.4384 (1)	0.063 (1)
-0.3065 (4)	-0.0191 (2)	0.7804 (2)	0.037(1)
-0.2295 (11)	0.3253 (7)	1.2352 (6)	0.046 (3)
-0.6316 (12)	0.2705 (7)	0.9346(7)	0.056 (3)
-0.1740 (11)	-0.0894 (6)	0.8523 (6)	0.047 (3)
-0.4880 (10)	-0.0839 (7)	0.7120(6)	0.049 (3)
-0.2043 (17)	0.2463 (10)	1.1523 (9)	0.041 (4)
-0.3319 (15)	0.2208 (10)	1.0499 (9)	0.036 (4)
-0.5081 (18)	0.2844 (10)	1.0252 (9)	0.041 (5)
-0.5365 (16)	0.3709 (9)	1.1203 (9)	0.038 (4)
-0.7013 (18)	0.4373 (10)	1.1107 (9)	0.046 (5)
-0.7219 (18)	0.5170 (10)	1.1989 (11)	0.048 (5)
-0.5776 (20)	0.5346(11)	1.2985 (10)	0.054 (5)
-0.4141 (19)	0.4706 (10)	1.3087 (9)	0.048 (5)
-0.3917 (18)	0.3877 (10)	1.2196 (9)	0.041 (4)
-0.2829 (16)	0.1276 (9)	0.9696 (9)	0.037 (4)
-0.3825 (16)	0.0950 (9)	0.8651 (9)	0.036 (4)
-0.1655 (15)	0.0652 (8)	0.6863 (8)	0.030 (4)
0.0407 (17)	0.0950 (10)	0.7162 (9)	0.048 (5)
0.1528 (18)	0.1620(11)	0.6434 (10)	0.053 (5)

C(15)	0.0538 (19)	0.1962 (10) 0.5389 (9)	0.043 (5)	O(2)	0.0473(2)	0.3347 (8)	0.4357(3)	0.093 (2)
C(16)	-0.1540(19)	0.1657 (11) 0.5086 (10) 10) 0.5831 (10)	0.053(5) 0.051(5)	O(3)	0.16347 (15)	-0.1397 (6)	0.3627(3) 0.6790(3)	0.093(2) 0.085(2)
C(17)	-0.2010 (18)	0.1025 (10) 0.5051 (10)	0.051 (5)	O(5)	-0.0844 (2)	0.4738 (8	0.2929 (4)	0.106 (2)
Tabl	e 4. Selected	geometrie	c parameters (Å,	°) for (II)	C(2)	0.0336 (3)	-0.1901 (1	2) 0.4054 (5)	0.069 (2)
Br(2)(2(15)	1 884 (12)	• C(5)C(6)	1 365 (17)	C(3)	0.0519 (2)	-0.0168 (10	$\begin{array}{c} 0) & 0.4321(5) \\ 0.4158(4) \end{array}$	0.059 (2)
Br(1) - C	C(6)	1.884 (12)	C(6) - C(7)	1.392 (16)	C(4) C(4')	-0.0325(2)	0.1718(1.	(4) = 0.4158(4) = 0.3721(4)	0.072(2) 0.060(2)
S(11)-C	D(3)	1.433 (8)	C(7)—C(8)	1.368 (19)	C(5)	-0.0286(3)	0.3209 (1	1) 0.3507(5)	0.083 (3)
S(11)—C	D(4)	1.439 (7)	C(8)—C(8')	1.398 (16)	C(6)	-0.0652 (3)	0.3014 (1	3) 0.3104 (5)	0.079 (3)
S(11)C	C(10)	1.741 (11)	C(2) - C(3)	1.363 (14)	C(7)	-0.0800 (3)	0.1100(1	3) 0.2891 (5)	0.085 (3)
-3(11)-(1)-(1)-(1)-(1)-(1)-(1)-(1)-(1)-(1)	(12)	1.738 (10)	C(3) = C(10)	1.319(13)	C(8)		-0.0519(1	(3) 0.3105(5) 0.3508(5)	0.068(2)
0(1)C	(8')	1.350 (14)	C(12) - C(13)	1.375 (15)	C(8)	-0.0223(3) 0.0902(2)	-0.0319(1)	0) 0.4751(4)	0.065 (2)
O(1)C	(2)	1.323 (13)	C(12)—C(17)	1.374 (14)	C(10)	0.1143 (2)	0.1071 (1	0) 0.5150 (4)	0.065 (2)
C(4)—C	(4')	1.488 (15)	C(13) - C(14)	1.381 (17)	C(12)	0.1819 (2)	0.1868 (9) 0.5061 (4)	0.062 (2)
C(4) = C(4') = C(4')	(3)	1.444 (10)	C(14) - C(15) C(15) - C(16)	1.385 (15)	C(13)	0.1888 (2)	0.1053 (1)	0) 0.4194 (4)	0.065 (2)
$C(4') \rightarrow C(4') \rightarrow C(4'$	C(8')	1.390 (14)	C(16) - C(17)	1.361 (17)	C(14)	0.2005(2) 0.2169(2)	0.2173(1	(4) (5) (4) (5)	0.030(2) 0.071(2)
-(.,					C(16)	0.2097 (2)	0.4904 (1	0) 0.4793 (5)	0.073 (2)
Comp	ound (III)				C(17)	0.1917 (2)	0.3814 (9) 0.5348 (4)	0.071 (2)
Crysta	l data				C(18)	-0.1222 (3)	0.4625 (1	2) 0.2469 (6)	0.110(3)
Crysta									
$C_{18}H_{13}$	ClO₅S		Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	1	Table	e 6. Selected	eometric .	parameters (Å,	°) for (III)
$M_r = 3$	76.79		$\lambda = 0./10/3 \text{ A}$	6 60		(15)	711 (8)	C(8')_C(8)	1 369 (10)
Monoc	linic		Cell parameters	from 68	S(11)((13)	.442 (5)	C(8') - C(4')	1.406 (10)
C2/c	0		reflections		S(11)(D(4)	.450 (4)	C(8)C(7)	1.345 (10)
<i>a</i> = 39	.053 (13) Å		$\theta = 6.335 - 12.46$	5°	S(11)—C	C(10)	.698 (8)	C(7)C(6)	1.401 (10)
b = 6.7	700 (2) Å		$\mu = 0.376 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	1	S(11)-C	C(12)	.763 (7)	C(6) - C(5)	1.384 (11)
c = 13	.471 (5) Å		T = 298 K		0(2)C	(4)	.228 (7)	C(3) = C(4)	1.324 (9)
$\beta = 10$)6.62 (3)°		Cube		0(1)-C	(8')	.382 (9)	C(12) - C(17)	1.383 (8)
V = 33	578 (2) Å ³		$0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$	mm	O(5)—C	(6)	.360 (9)	C(12)—C(13)	1.385 (8)
Z = 8			Colorless		O(5)—C	(18)	.431 (11)	C(17)—C(16)	1.372 (9)
$D_r = 1$	$.482 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	i			C(4)—C	(4')	.446 (10)	C(16) - C(15)	1.368 (9)
$\hat{D_m}$ not	t measured				C(4)-C	(3)	357 (10)	C(13) = C(14) C(14) = C(13)	1.376 (9)
					C(3)—C	(9)	.446 (10)		
Data c	ollection								
Syntex	P4 four-circl	le	$R_{\rm int} = 0.088$		Variab	le scan rate, θ	-2θ scan m	ode with a scan	width of 0.6°
diff	ractometer		$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.99^{\circ}$		below	$K\alpha_1$ and 0.6°	above Ko	2 to a maximum	n 2 θ value of
AIZA S	cans		$h = -25 \rightarrow 25$		50°. R	efinement was	completed	using full-matrix	least-squares
Abson	ntion correction)n:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 7$		metho	ds.			
non	•		$l = 0 \rightarrow 16$		For	all compound	ds, data co	ollection: XSCA	NS (Siemens,
2685 r	e neasured refle	ections	3 standard reflec	ctions	1991);	cell refineme	ent: XSCAl	VS; data reducti	on: XSCANS;
2166 i	ndependent re	flections	frequency: 97	min	progra	m(s) used to	solve struc	tures: SHELXS	6 (Sheldrick,
21001	bserved refle	ctions	intensity deca	v: 0.0001%	1990);	1990); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXS86;			
2127 ([F ~	$> 2\sigma(F)$	ettons	intensity deed	.j. 0.000170	molec	ular graphics:	XP (Siemer	ns, 1990)	
[1 2	20(1)]					01			
Refine	ment				Liete	f structure fact	ore anisotro	nic displacement	narameters H-
Dafina	$rant on F^2$		$w = 1/[\sigma^2(E_c^2)]$	$(0.0985P)^2$	atom	oordinates and	complete ge	ometry have been	deposited with
Renne			where $P = (i)$	$F_{1}^{2} + 2F_{1}^{2}/3$	the IU	Cr (Reference: F	A 1224). Cor	oies may be obtain	ed through The
$K(F) = D(F^2)$			$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0$	001	Manag	ing Editor, Inte	mational Un	ion of Crystallogr	aphy, 5 Abbey
WK(F)) = 0.1012		$\Delta q_{max} = 0.335$	e Å ⁻³	Square	, Chester CHI 2	HU, England	d.	• • •
S = 1.	14		$\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.229$	$\dot{A} = \dot{A}^{-3}$					
21291	renections		Extinction corre	ction: none					
227 pa	arameters	h.,	Atomic scatterir	of factors	Refe	rences			
H ator	ns positioned	uy mumidin n	from Internat	ional Tables	Uandh	M D (104)	0) 1 4	hem Soc 87 188	6-1888
Idea	uizea geometi	y,naing	for Crystallo	pranhy (1997	Kharee	ch M S Stam	07. J. Am. C Na G & Nu	lenberg W (1953)	. J. Org. Chem
moo	iei with fixed	isotropic	Vol C Takla	\$ 4768 and	18	575–580	, 0. o. nu		
disp	placement para	ameters	6114	5 -7.2.0.0 anu	Krishn	aiah, M., Raiu.	K. V. N., Lu	ı, IL., Chen, YS	S. & Rao, S. N.
			0.1.1.4)		(199	5). Acta Cryst.	C 51 , 2429–2	430.	
Table	5. Fraction	al atomic	coordinates and	d equivalent	Mukur	idam, M. (1990). PhD thesi	is, Department of	Chemistry, SV
i	sotropic disp	lacement	parameters $(Å^2)$	for (III)	Univ	versity, Tirupati,	India.		
	concerc and			J - · · · /	01 11	-1. C M (1000	N Ante Com	A AAK AKT ATT	

U_{eq} 0.1073 (10) 0.0705 (7)

0.081 (2)

 $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

0.5493 (4)

0.0501 (3) -0.2031 (7)

x 0.23830(7)

0.15766 (6) --0.0019 (2)

Cl(2)

S(11) O(1)

z

0.32364 (14)

0.57523 (12)

0.3675 (3)

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

- Siemens (1990). XP. Interactive Molecular Graphics Program. Version 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.